



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/056,312	01/24/2002	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-1488	9558
75	590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Adan Ayala, I			HAMILTON	, ISAAC N
Black & Decke 701 E. Joppa R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	ı

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/056,312	MEREDITH ET AL.	CY
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on OS	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir niod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al ailing date of this communication, even if 8 January 2004. This action is non-final.	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  timely filed, may reduce any  ters, prosecution as to the merits	
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applie 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	•	ha tha Barasana	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t	· · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	` ' •	(α).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p  application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least open content.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ol>	/08) 5) \( \bigcap \text{ Notice of I} \) 6) \( \bigcap \text{ Other: } \( \bigcap \)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 1, lines 4-5, "first portion" and "second portion" are not described in the specification, and it is not indicated in the drawings which element are the "first" and "second portion".
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is indefinite because the limitation "the distance between the second portion..." in claim 1, lines 13-15, are not defined due to the lack of explanation of the "first portion" and the "second portion".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ushiwata et al (5,425,294), hereafter Ushiwata. Ushiwata discloses base 1; rotatable table 2; plane is the top surface of table 2; saw assembly 8; motor 11; blade 10; arbor 9; first portion is the section of arbor 9 that is closest to blade 10, in other words, the portion that is to the left of the vertical line A-A in figure 12; second portion is the section of arbor 9 that lies along the line A-A in figure 12, and that is labeled 9 in figure 13; first gear 9 in column 3, line 22; intermediate gear 17; second gear 12; pivot arm 6; first position in figure 12; second position in figure 4 and column 3, lines 3-5; if the position in figure 12 were changed to the second position, so that the pivot arm is at a 45 degree angle relative to the table, then the second portion would be closer to the table than the first portion.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushiwata in view of Lee (3,757,838). Ushiwata discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose a belt.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,312

Art Unit: 3724

Lee teaches belt 81. It would have been obvious to provide a belt in Ushiwata as taught by Lee

Page 4

in order to mount the motor a greater distance from the pivot point of the pivot arm so that a

greater miter angle can be acheived.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 703-305-4949. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 8am and 5pm. If attempts to

reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on

703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ΙH

IH

March 19, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700